

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

CYNTHIA FAJARDO,

Plaintiff,

v.

PIERCE COUNTY, a political subdivision of  
the State of Washington; and PIERCE  
COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, a  
department of Pierce County,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:08-cv-05136-RBL

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND  
DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendants' Response [Dkt. #50] to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration [Dkt. #45] of the Court's Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Compel. [Dkt. #44]. In its Request for Response [Dkt. #50], the Court made a provisional determination that information located in the "Source" column of the document "Chronology #2" would not need to be produced by Plaintiff. Pursuant to Local Rule CR 7(h), the Court requested Defendants' file a response by July 29, 2009 should they object to the Court's proposed resolution of the matter.

In their Response, the Defendants' argue that the Court should reaffirm the findings of its Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Compel. [Dkt. #44]. Specifically, Defendants request that the Court require Plaintiff to produce all information contained in "Chronology #2" including the information located in the "Source Column," which this Court provisionally determined did not need to be produced. [Dkt. #49].

ORDER

1 The Court finds the Defendants' arguments unpersuasive and orders "Chronology #2" to be  
2 disclosed with all information contained in its "Source Column" redacted without exception. Plaintiff's  
3 Motion for Reconsideration is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART consistent with the  
4 Court's conclusions in its Order Requesting Response. [Dkt. #49].

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7  
8  
9 Dated this 30<sup>th</sup> of July, 2009.

10  
11   
12 RONALD B. LEIGHTON  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28